PROBATE COURT OF LUCAS COUNTY, OHIO JACK R. PUFFENBERGER, JUDGE

GUAI	KUI	ANSHIP OF		
CASE	ΞNO	D		
		APPLICATION OF GUARDIAN TO PROVIDE DIRECT SERVICES TO WARD [Sup.R. 66.01 (B), 66.04 (D), and 66.09 (G)]		
and on nursing physitraining guard prohil	com ng c cal t ng a dian bits	ervices" are defined in Sup.R. 66.01 (B) as services typically provided by home munity based and institutionally based care providers, including medical and are or case management services, care coordination, speech, occupational and therapy, psychological services, counseling, residential, legal representation, job and any other similar services. Sup.R. 66.09 (G) prohibits "Direct Services" by a to a ward, unless otherwise approved by the Court and Sup.R. 66.04 (D) the appointment of a direct services provider as guardian for a ward to whom der delivers direct services unless otherwise authorized by law.		
Chec	k a	I that are applicable (Attach additional pages, if necessary)		
	1.	The Guardian of the ward named above applies to the Court for authority to provide direct services to the ward.		
	2.	The Guardian of the ward named above applies to the Court for approval of the guardian receiving from a third party compensation for direct services to be provided to the ward by the Guardian. The Guardian is not an employee of the payer.		
	3.	The Guardian represents to the Court that it is in the wards best interests of the Ward that this application be approved because:		
	4.	Compensation for these services is being paid to the Guardian by:		
	5.	The direct services being provided are:		
		A. Personal care to a child of the guardian in the guardian's residence that may involve personal hygiene, feeding, medicating, and/or dressing of the Ward.		
		D Othor:		

The Guardian has disclosed this inherent conflict of interest to the Court and requests that the conflict be waived and/or the restricting Sup.R. 66.01-66.09 be waived, to this extent.

Attor	ney's Signature	Guardian's Signature	
Attor	ney's Printed Name	Guardian's Printed Name	
Addr	ess	Address	
City,	State, Zip Code	City, State, Zip Code	
Phor	ne Number	Phone Number	
Sup.	Ct. No	-	
	I	ENTRY	
The	matter has come before the Court fo	or consideration and it is ORDERED that:	
	The Court denies the Application as not being in the best interest of the Ward; or to the extent that Sup.R. 66.01-66.09 (inclusive) prohibit the request, they are hereby waived pursuant to Sup.R. 76 and the Court.		
	Approves the Application as being in the best interest of the Ward.		
	Approves the request in part as follows:		
		Judge Jack R. Puffenberger	